

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,480	BRUNDAGE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dangelino N. Gortayo	2168	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Dangelino N. Gortayo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Jerome Schaefer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2006
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
current rejection of record
Claims discussed:
all independent claims
Prior art documents discussed:
Prior art of record
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims as directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments. The 101 eligibility of claims 5, 8, 15, and 16 were discussed, and amendments were made to claims 5 and 15 to include hardware component, while claims 8 and 16 were shown to be executed by hardware..